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## DRAFT

Land-use maps are the most common way of presenting land-based data. They show landuses by rendering them in different colors. They effectively illustrate land-use concepts by graphically displaying land-uses, roads, public infrastructure, and community facilities. Planning agencies have been using one color scheme since the 1950's that has become a defacto standard. This standard is also being frequently recommended to planners across the country. The following is a survey of this and other traditional coloring schemes.

Maps generally use a different color for each of the major land-use categories. For example, it is common to render:

- Y ellows for residential uses such as single-family and town houses.
- Browns for multi-family and high-rise residential
- Reds for retail and commercial uses
- Purples for industrial uses
- Blues for institutional and public facilities
- Greens for recreational uses
- Grays for industrial utilities

The above primary and secondary colors generally serve basic land-use maps that do not have complex land-use categories. When they do, it is common to find additional colors in shades closer to secondary and tertiary colors. Beyond this traditional color scheme, systems vary widely on how many colors to show on a map and which colors denote what land uses. Because some colors are close to others and easily discernible, elaborate coding schemes also specify the appropriate Prisma color number (Prisma Color is the trade name and manufacturer of popular color pencils). On black and white maps, colors replace monochrome patterns of varying crosshatched lines. We have located several such coloring and hatching schemes in standard planning textbooks, plans, guides, etc., in the APA's research library. The following is a summary of these schemes.

## Color Coding Schemes

The color coding schemes from the following sources were surveyed.

- Planning D esign Criteria. 1969. De Chiara. This book has a coloring scheme for land-use categories, which is listed in table1.
- A Proposal for a Standardized L and U se Classification Project. 1958. American Institute of Planners, North Carolina Section of the Southeast Chapter Land Use Classification Committee. This is of the earliest examples of a complete color coding scheme that many other schemes copied later. This is also the standard taught in planning schools.
- L and-use Classification M anual. 1965. Inter-county Regional Planning Commission. Denver, Colo. This is an additional example of a color coding scheme from the Denver, Colorado metropolitan area which is similar to the North Carolina example, but with some important variations.
- G uidelines for Preparing U rban Plans. 1995. Anderson, Larz T. Planners Press: Chicago, Ill. Contains concise guidelines for coloring and presenting data on maps. It also has standard do's and don'ts for graphical representation.

In addition to the above sources, we also examined land-use maps of the following jurisdictions (randomly chosen from the APA Research Library) for examples of common and uncommon colors.

- Aurora, Colorado
- Sioux City, Iowa
- Barnstable County, Massachusetts
- City of Winter Park, Florida

The survey generally shows the traditional color coding conventions for each standard landuse category. However, there are some differences when maps utilize categories that do not fit the six commonly used land use categories.

Residential land uses are fairly consistent in using shades of yellows and browns for higher densities, multi-family developments, and high rise residential areas. Mobile homes tend to be in colors different from yellows or browns. For example, purple is the recommended color in the De Chiara scheme, lavender in Denver's Land-Use Classification Manual Color Scheme), and other colors as well.

Commercial uses are generally colored in shades of orange to red. The denser the commercial, the redder the color. Some maps use pink for tourist commercial areas as well.

Mixed uses are designated a range of colors in the purple or gray shades. For example:

- Purple Shades:
- Commercial and residential mixed use areas (e.g., Aurora and D enver)
- Residential with limited office and neighborhood commercial (e.g., Sioux City)
- Industrial with limited office and retail (e.g., Sioux City)
- Gray Shades:
- Office and light industry mixed used areas (e.g., Aurora)
- Orange \& Red Shades:
- Central Business Districts (e.g., Winter Park, Sioux City)

Industrial uses in most cases are rendered in grays or shades of purple. The heavier the industry, the darker the gray. Sometimes blues may also be used (D enver and North Carolina).

Institutional uses are mostly blue, but for some types of institutional uses, such as schools and health care facilities, we found greens and grays as well.

O pen space areas are typically green or left uncolored. Greens may also represent forested areas and Indian reservations; when more than one open space category is used, we also found dark greens for agricultural uses, and light greens for recreational uses.

Public and governmental lands, such as military installations, are commonly colored in light shades like pink, or left bank.

For transportation facilities and public utilities, black is the preferred color. Different types of facilities may be colored with different shades of gray when grays are not used for other designations. For environmental categories such as wetlands, resource protection areas, and steep slopes, deeper shades of greens and blues are frequently used.

## Black and White Coding Schemes

In addition to coloring land-uses, maps also use monochrome colors or black and white patterns to differentiate land-use categories. The hatched patterns traditionally indicate denser land uses with heavier patterns while open space or recreational areas are left blank or lightly patterned. Below is a description of some land-uses and the black and white patterns associated with them.

| Land-use | Common Hatching Pattems |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential | Cross hatches with darker patterns for higher densities |
| Commercial | Slanted lines, darker patterns for higher intensities |
| Industrial, Transportation, and Utilities | Points, diamonds, stars, etc. |
| Public uses | Vertical lines |
| Parks and Recreation | Stipple patterns |
| Agricultural | Curved lines |

Some standards also specify the hatched pattern by giving Zip-a-Tone or Blu-zip numbers, which refer to the sheets of sticky-back papers with transparent hatching on them. See table 2, Hatched Pattern Schemes, for examples of such specificationsed.

## Color Coding and Computers

Over the years APA's inquiry answering service has fielded questions about coloring maps produced in graphics, CAD, and GIS computer programs. Although there are no specific
standards, we found several land-use and land cover maps using one particular scheme inconsistent with anything planners traditionally produce.

After looking at this standard, the best explanation we have now is that a GIS software program called Arc/ Info, included a sample template that used this scheme. The software also showed a sample land-use map based on this template. The colors used here closely matches this frequently found inconsistent scheme. We suspect that non-planners working on G IS might have used this template to assign colors to land uses that has been perpetuated by others. But soon, with cooperation of major G IS vendors and the LBCS study, we hope to provide standard planning color convention schemes so that they may include them as templates.

Unlike color pencils, personal computers can render up to 16 million distinct colors at a time even though the human eye can disinguish only a fraction of these. Most standard personal computers can render 256 to 65,536 colors at a time- providing plenty of color options even for the most demanding maps. Moreover, computers can easily hatch patterns in colors to give even more options. We intend to develop a guideline for templates with colors and hatched patterns after standardizing land-based categories so that popular software vendors can incorporate them as standard templates.

## Summary of Frequently Used Colors

Though colors may vary widely, the most frequently used colors for common land-use categories are:

| Land-use | Standard Color |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential | Y ellows |
| Single-Family Residential | Y ellows and light Browns |
| D uplex | D ark Y ellow |
| Multi-family | D ark Brown |
| Commercial | Reds |
| Commercial Light Density | Light Red and Orange |
| Office and Commercial Uses | Red |
| Mixed Uses | Purple and hatched patterns |
| Institutional Uses | Blues |
| O pen Space | Green |
| Transportation | Blacks and Grays |
| Public and G overnmental Lands | Pink |
| Environmental Areas | Greens and Blues |
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| Land Uses | De Chiara Schemes |  |  | North Carolina Scheme | Denver <br> What? Comp Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scheme 1 | Scheme 2 | Simplified |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-family | 915 Lemon Yellow | 915 Lemon Yellow | 915 Lemon Yellow | 940 Sard | 915 Lemon Yellow |
| Two family | 939 Flesh | 942 Yellow Ochre | 943 Burnt Ochre | 942 Yellow Ochre |  |
| Three and four family | 917 Yellow Orange | 943 Burnt Ochre | 943 Burnt Ochre |  |  |
| Over 5 |  | 946 Dark Brown | 943 Burnt Ochre |  |  |
| Boarding rooms and houses | 943 Burnt Ochre |  | 946 Dark Brown |  |  |
| Multifamily | 946 Dark Brown |  |  | 918 Orange | 942 Yellow Ochre |
| Mobile homes |  |  |  |  | 934 Lavender |
| Group quarters |  | 918 Orange |  |  | 946 Dark Brown |
| Tourists and trailer courts | 930 Magenta | 946 Hatched Brown | 931 Purple |  |  |
| Hotels | 931 Purple |  | 931 Purple |  |  |
| BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial residential |  |  |  |  | 931 Dark Purple |
| Services |  |  |  |  | 918 Orange |
| Retail |  |  |  | 922 Scarlet Red | 922 Scarlet Red |
| Wholesale |  |  |  | 930 Magenta |  |
| Strip Mall |  | 929 Pink |  |  |  |
| Other retail commercial |  | 922 Scarlet Red |  |  |  |
| Banks and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local | 929 Pink |  | 929 Pink |  |  |
| Consumer service |  |  |  | 904 Light Blue |  |
| Professional service |  |  |  | 905 Aquamarine |  |
| Business Service |  |  |  | 933 Blue Violet |  |
| Shopping Center |  | 925 Crimson Lake |  |  |  |
| Offices and Banks | 921 Vermilion Red | 928 Blush |  |  |  |
| General | 923 Scarlet Lake |  | 923 Scarlet Lake |  |  |
| Intensive, theatres and recreation | 925 Crimson Lake |  |  |  |  |
| INDUSTRIAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extractive |  | 964 Warm Grey |  | 940 Burnt Ochre | 966 |
| Warehouse, wholesale |  | 936 Slate Gray |  |  | 968 |
| Manufacturing |  | 961 Warm Grey |  | 931 Purple | 966 |
| Manufacturing Services |  |  |  | 934-Lavender |  |
| Utilities |  | 931 Purple |  |  | 901 Indigo Blue |
| Sewerage, Disposal |  |  |  |  | 965 |
| Light | 964 Light Gray |  |  |  |  |
| Railroad and Utilities | 962 Dark Gray |  |  |  |  |
| Communications |  |  |  |  | 901 Indigo Blue |
| Heavy Industry | 935 Black |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSPORTATION |  | 956 Light Violet |  |  | 936 Slate Grey |
| Vehicular Transportation |  |  |  | 962 Medium Grey |  |
| Non-Vehicular Transportation |  |  |  | 964 Light Grey |  |
| PUBLIC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corrections |  |  |  |  | 906 Copenhagen Blue |
| Parks | 910 True Blue |  | 910 True Blue |  |  |
| Public schools | 909 Grass Green | 919 Sky Blue | 909 Grass Green |  |  |
| Public buildings | 903 Dark Green | 902 Ultramarine |  |  |  |
| Educational, religious, recreational |  |  |  | 912 Apple Green | 903 True Blue |
| Sports/recreational facilities |  |  |  |  | 909 Grass Green |
| Open space |  |  |  |  | 910 True Green |
| Unimproved forest land |  |  |  |  | 910 True Green |
| Health and welfare |  |  |  | 907 Peacock Green |  |
| QUASI PUBLIC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open uses | 903 True Blue |  |  |  |  |
| Churches | 902 Ultramarine |  | 901 Indigo Blue |  |  |
| Buildings and institutions | 901 Indigo Blue | 901 Indigo Blue |  |  |  |
| Military |  |  |  |  | 919 Non-photo Blue |
| Cemeteries | 905 Aquamarine |  | 905 Aquamarine |  | 919 Non-photo Blue |
| AGRICULTURAL |  |  | 912 Apple Green |  | 912 Apple Green |
| Crops | 912 Apple Green |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock | 911 Olive Green |  |  |  |  |
| VACANT LAND | no color |  | no color |  |  |
| WATER |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Standard Hatching Patterns

| Land Uses | North Carolina Example Blue-Zip Patterns | Denver Classification Manual Zip-a-tone Pattern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zip-A-Tone |  |
| RESIDENTIAL |  |  |  |
| Single-family | 0 | B550 10\% |  |
| Two family | 02 |  |  |
| Multifamily | 03-05 | B550 40\% |  |
| COMMERCIAL |  |  |  |
| Services |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B340M } \\ & \text { B340M } \end{aligned}$ | N |
| Retail | Red-Blue |  |  |
| Wholesale | BP-68 |  |  |
| Consumer Service | BP-66 |  |  |
| Professional Service | BP-1 |  |  |
| Business Service | BP-126 |  |  |
| INDUSTRIAL |  |  |  |
| Extractive | BP-75 |  |  |
| Warehouse, Wholesale |  | B312M |  |
| Manufacturing | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BP}-54 \\ & \mathrm{BP}-69 \end{aligned}$ | B408M |  |
| Manufacturing Services BP-69 |  |  |  |
| Utilities |  |  | B87IM |  |
| Sewerage, Disposal |  | B87IM |  |  |
| Light |  | B87IM |  |  |
| Railroad and Utilities |  | B87IM |  |  |
| Communications |  | B87IM |  |  |
| TRANSPORTATION |  | B87IM |  |  |
| Vehicular transportation | BP-50 |  |  |  |
| Non-vehicular transportation | BP-50 |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC |  | B323M |  |  |
| Corrections |  |  |  |  |
| Parks | BP-167 | B320M |  |  |
| Educational, Religious, Recreational |  |  |  |  |
| Sports/Recreational facilities |  | B320M |  |  |
| Health and Welfare | BP-7 |  |  |  |
| QUASI PUBLIC |  | B323M |  |  |
| AGRICULTURAL |  | B447M | , |  |
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